

Evaluation grid proposed by the Drafting Committee of the

Revue d'études comparatives Est-ouest

The evaluation report will include a well-argued response comprising the following :

1. The quality of the arguments
2. The originality of the submitted article
3. Interest of the article
4. Interest of publishing such an article in the RECEO
5. Criticisms, suggestions and recommendations to the author
6. In the light of the before mentioned items of assession, the rapporteur:
recommends either:
 - to keep the article for an immediate publication
 - to keep it following the introduction of minor revisions
 - to keep it after taking account of significant revisions
 - Or considers that the article is unlikely to reach the required level for being published by the RECEO.
7. Do you agree to validate a second version of the article if it is accepted subject to modifications?